RANKING WORKSHEET

NAN	ΛE		GRADE	DATE
A.	PE	ERFORMANCE		
	1.	Creativity: The degree to which develops, and articulates innovato problems.	ch the individual vative alternativ	identifies, es and solutions
7.		Rarely has original Coccasionally has original Usually has original Original input or con	lginal input or cointrik	ontributions. outions.
	2.	Initiative: The degree to which additional tasks or problems for		actively seeks
7		 Waits for direction Occasionally shows Usually shows initia Exceptional initiativ 	initiative - mod tive - minimal p	erate reminders needed. prodding needed.
	3.	Judgment: The degree to whic sound recommendations or effe		
7,		Recommendations as Recommendations as Recommendations as Recommendations as	nd decisionsocca nd decisions usv	sionally sound.
	4.	Productivity: The degree to wassignments within environme supervisor, etc.).		
		Production is lackin Production is usuall Production is compled to the production with rar	y completed as leted on and occ	required and no more. asionally before
	_	required date.	_	
	5.	Quality: The degree to which	the individual pi	roduces nign

Approved For Release 2006/08/39/5 XCIAPRDP82-00357R00100040032-5

quality work.

Approved For Release 2006/08/31 : CIA-RDP82-00357R001000040032-5

 Work contains errors but acceptable. Work is satisfactory, few evidences of carelessness. Work is exceptional and accurate.
6. Self Reliance: The degree to which an individual is willing to take the personal risk of expressing independent opinions.
Never expresses independent opinion. May express independent opinion when solicited. Often expresses independent opinion. Demonstrates exceptional competence in expressing independent opinions.
7. Experience: The degree to which the individual has successfully performed in a variety of assignments with increasing responsibility.
Performed in a limited number of assignments with no increase of responsibility.
Performed successfully in a variety of assignments with limited responsibility.
3Performed successfully in a limited number of
assignments with increasing responsibility. 4 Performed successfully in a variety of assignments with increasing responsibility.
Sub Total x Weighting Factor Equals Net Score

B. SKILLS

1.	Technical: The degree to which the individual has mastered the substantive area(s) within which he works.
	Has poor grasp of technical areas. Has some weaknesses but acceptable. Has good grasp of most technical areas. Has exceptional grasp of technical areas.
2.	Self-Expression: The degree to which the individual can effectively express himself orally and in writing.
	 Written and oral work of little value. Written and oral work require additional explanation, but acceptable.
	 Work is useful and meaningful, few additions needed. Work is thorough - rarely needs additional explanation.
7 <u>—3.</u> →	Managerial: The degree to which the individual is able to motivate and supervise people in an activity or program.
	l
	2 Manages people in an acceptable fashion; occasionally needs help. 3 Manages people in a satisfactory manner, usually well
	Manages people in a satisfactory manner, usually well worked out. Manages people thoroughly and completely.
4.	Organization/Management: The degree to which the individual is able to organize and direct activity to its assigned completion.
	lManages work poorly; needs firm direction or has no managerial responsibilities.
	Manages work in an acceptable fashion; occasionally needs help.
	3Manages work in a satisfactory manner, usually well worked out.
	4Manages work thoroughly and completely.

Approved For Release 2006/08/31 : CIA-RDP82-00357R001000040032-5

5.	Interpersonal: The degree to which the individual successfully relates to and works with subordinates, peers, supervisors, and counterparts in other organizations.
	 Does not work well with others. Has some difficulty working with others. Works well with others. Demonstrates exceptional ability in working with and relating to others.
Sub To	x Weighting FactorEquals Net Score

Approved For Release	2006/08/31	: CIA-RDP82-003	357R001000040032-5

\sim	7	~	7.7	7.7	7	٨	T
C.	\mathbf{r}	O_{-}^{r}	يتديا	T.N	44	А	ىن

1. Capacity for Growth: The degree to which the individual can be expected to assume responsibility and/or effectively organize, manage, and direct programs and people.

Sub Total	s Weighting Factor	Equals Net Score
	Capacity for growth - good. Capacity for growth - excellent.	•
	2 Capacity for growth - limited.	
	l Capacity for growth - none.	

D.	OTHER	FΔ	CTOPS	7
		.r~.	しょししけんご	`

	l. Mobility: The degree to which the individual is willing and able to serve in Career Service requested assignments.
	 Unwilling to serve in any other assignment. Willing to accept only selected assignment. Willing to serve in any assignments but has limitations.
•	4Willing and able to serve as required.
> 2	. Comparability: The degree to which the individual performs a job that is or is not comparable to his grade.
7.	Job is lower graded than the grade of the person. Job is comparable to grade of the person. Job is one grade higher than the grade of the person. Job is two grades higher than the grade of the person.
3.	Self-Improvement: The degree to which the individual has shown in terest in his intellectual and professional growth through education/training both prior to and following his entry on duty.
	lToo soon to evaluate or shows no interest in additional training or education.
	Employee, regardless of educational attainment has shown some interest in additional education and training through attendance at conferences, seminars, universities, etc.
	Has non-Personnel related college degree or less than college degree, who has regularly sought additional training through attendance to conferences, seminars, schools, etc.
	4 Has Rozponnel related college degree and has regularly sought additional training. (As stated above)

3. Has college degree but has not downstrate his continued interest in education of though attendance at enforces, etc.

Approved For Release 2006/08/31 : CIA-RDP82-00357R001000040032-5

OVERALL SCORE

Α.	PERFORMANCE	
в.	SKILLS	
c.	POTENTIAL	
D.	OTHER FACTORS	
	Overall Score	
	Overall Ranking	
	Number	of

OVERALL SCORE

Α.	PERFORMANCE			 	
в. В.	SKILLS				
Ö.	POTENTIAL				
D.	OTHER FACTORS				
	Overall Score				
	Overall Ranking				
	Number	c) -		

OVERALL SCORE

Α.	PERFORMANCE _	
B.	SKILLS	
c.	OTHER FACTORS	
	Overall Score	
	Overall Ranking	
	Numberof _	
Base	ed on this competitive ev	aluation and ranking, (Mr., Ms.,
Miss	s, Mrs.)	has potential for advance-
ment	t to the (GS level)(t	he next higher grade level) and
for	assignment to a more res	ponsible position.